UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

| United | l States of America, |) Case No. 3:24-MJ-71640 MA6 |
|--|--|--|
| | Plaintiff, v. |) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1 |
| 70 | Defendant(s). Padillo |)) |
| For the reasons stated by the parties on the regord on 19/25, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 19/25 to 2/22/25 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s): | | |
| _ | Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i). | be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. |
| _ | defendants, the nature of the proor law, that it is unreasonable to expect | due to [check applicable reasons] the number of rosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ct adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial cd by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). |
| | | deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). |
| | | unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| × | The state of the s | unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| > | disposition of criminal cases, the cour paragraph and — based on the parties the time limits for a preliminary hearing | d taking into account the public interest in the prompt rt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first s' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ang under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| DATE | D: 1/9/2005 | PETER H. KANG United States Magistrate Judge |
| STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant South of the States Attorney Sheri dan | | |